

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, JULY 16, 2007
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S125590 H025069 Sixth Appellate District

**SIEBEL (THOMAS M.) v.
MITTLESTEADT (CAROL L.)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full.

Opinion by: Corrigan, J.

-----joined by: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S141643 B176810 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

**SANTA BARBARA, CITY OF v.
S.C. (JANEWAY)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full. Judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Opinion by: George, C. J.

-----joined by: Werdegarr, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by: Kennard, J.

-----joined by: Moreno, J.

Dissenting Opinion by: Baxter, J.

S066377

**PEOPLE v. ABILEZ (FRANK
MANUEL)**

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to September 26, 2007.

S068863

**PEOPLE v. SCOTT (DAVID
LYNN)**

Extension of time granted to September 4, 2007, to file the appellant's reply brief. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 205 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by March 3, 2008.

S072161**PEOPLE v. POTTS (THOMAS)**

Extension of time granted to September 4, 2007, to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Michael P. Goldstein's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by January 6, 2008.

S073205**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (JACK EMMITT)**

Extension of time granted to September 4, 2007, to file the respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 71 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Anthony Da Silva's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by November 13, 2007.

S148204

H028783 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MENTCH (ROGER WILLIAM)

Extension of time granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to August 7, 2007.

S149303

E039342 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. OLGUIN (ALEJANDRO)

Extension of time granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to August 9, 2007. No further extension of time will be granted.

S149367**JOHNSON (O'SHAY L.) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted.

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to August 8, 2007.

S150526**LANCASTER (DAVID G.) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted.

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 31, 2007.

S151207

A111081 First Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. PRICE (ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted.

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to August 13, 2007.

S153263 C055695 Third Appellate District

**FEATHERSTONE (JAMES R.)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted.

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the petitioner's reply to answer to the petition for review is extended to August 7, 2007.

S126182 F042592 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. BLACK (KEVIN
MICHAEL)**

Order filed.

Petitioner's Request for Judicial Notice, filed December 3, 2007, is denied. (See *Quelimane Co. v. Stewart Title Guaranty Co.* (1988) 19 Cal.4th 26, 45-46, fn. 9 and *People v. Cruz* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 764, 780, fn. 9.) The application of Kenneth M. Stern to file an amicus brief, in support of Kenneth Michael Black, is denied.

S148917 B187977 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. SANDOVAL (AIDA)

Request for judicial notice granted.

Petitioner's Request for Judicial Notice, filed April 2, 2007, is granted except with respect exhibit A, as to which it is denied. (See *Quelimane Co. v. Stewart Title Guaranty Co.* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 26, 45-46, fn. 9 and *People v. Cruz* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 764, 780, fn. 9.)

S151096

KUMPEL ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed.

Due to a clerical error of the State Bar, the order for FREDERICK CARLOS KUMPEL herein filed on May 14, 2007, ordering that costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, is modified nunc pro tunc to provide that costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-third of said costs be paid with membership fees for the next three billing cycles.

S154005**RODGERS (OTIS LEE) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE))**

Transferred to CA 4/2 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S154325**NETTLES (GARYON TRACY) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to CA 3 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S154328**S.C.****VALDEZ, JR. (TITO DAVID) v.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to CA 2 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 773)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)